

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,072	07/15/2003	Chee Wei Wong	MIT.9923 7118		
7590 09/13/2004			EXAMINER		
Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens LLP			KANG, JULIANA K		
Suite 3300 225 Franklin Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Boston, MA 02110			2874		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(4			
		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary		10/62	0,072	WONG ET AL.	•			
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
		Juliana	a K. Kang	2874				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	s			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 D period for reply is specified above, the maximum so ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. 80) days, a reply within the latutory period will apply al y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply be ti e statutory minimum of thirty (30) da nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commur ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
2a)□		2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
3)□								
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 July 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is re	quired if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner	. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority		•	a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority			tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies				70			
	application from the Internation			ed in this National Stay	je			
* (See the attached detailed Office action	•	` ''	ed.				
Attachmen								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	OTO 048)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) X Infor	<i>to Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (i</i> mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/04</u> .			Patent Application (PTO-152))			
			· — · · · — · ·					

DETAILED ACTION

Inventorship

1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because Figures 1-3 are not legible. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the

Application/Control Number: 10/620,072 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. Applicant's assistance is requested to correct any errors that may be noticed in the application.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 19-22 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 19-22 recites "the method of claim" in the beginning of each claim. It appears that claims have incorrect dependencies. Because the method claims 12-18 are exactly parallel to the preceding apparatus claims, the Examiner will interpret the claims 19, 20 and 22 as dependent claims of claim 18 and claim 21 as dependent claim of claim 20 for the examination purpose. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,072 Page 4

Art Unit: 2874

6. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9-12, 14-16, 18, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Matsuura et al (WO 02/10843 A2).

Matsuura et al disclose a photonic bandgap microcavity comprising a membrane structure (support) that can experience strain (see page 7 lines 2-9); and a photonic bandgap waveguide element formed on said membrane structure having a defect so that when said membrane structure is strained, said photonic bandgap waveguide element is tuned to a selective amount (see page 2 lines 27-30, page 6 lines 16-22, page 7 lines 22-25 and page 9 lines 20-24). Matsuura et al further disclose that the invention is applied to 1-dimentional, 2-dimentional and 3-dimentional photonic crystals (see page 8 lines 26-30). Matsuura et al further disclose using a bottom electrode and a top electrode to deform the membrane structure to tune the photonic bandgap waveguide using micro-actuators including a piezoelectric actuator (see page 13 lines 27-30, page 15 lines 22-33 and page 19 lines 1-9 and line 15-17).

Please note, regarding the method claims above, that method claims parallel article claims exactly without the introduction of any particular manufacturing methods, sot that it is proper to examiner the article and method claims together.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2874

8. Claims 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuura et al and further in view of Caracci et al (U.S. Patent 6,445,838 B1).

Matsuura et al disclose using silicon-based substrates that can be physically deformed due to piezoelectric response but does not explicitly teach SiO₂ layer. Silica is well known material used in the art and furthermore Caracci et al that silica is expandable in response to the stimulus of heat or a piezoelectric material which is expandable in response to the stimulus of voltage. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to a use silicon based substrate such as SiO₂ in Matsuura et al as taught by Caracci et al to tune the waveguide element.

9. Claims 6, 8,17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuura et al.

Regarding claims 6, 8, 17 and 19, as described above Matsuura et al disclose the claimed invention except the claimed strain approximately 1% or strain on the membrane between 0.2 and 0.3%. Matsuura et al tuning of photonic crystal by stressing the membrane permits precise control of light traveling thought the photonic bandgap waveguide (see page 3 lines 24-27, page 6 lines 1-8, and page 8 lines 26-30). Since Matsuura et al provide the same claimed structure and also teaches tuning of the photonic crystal precisely, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to tune the device with any desired tuning including the claimed tuning of approximately 1% or to introduce strain on the

Art Unit: 2874

membrane between 0.2% and 0.3%, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable and discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Please note, regarding the method claims above, that method claims parallel article claims exactly without the introduction of any particular manufacturing methods, sot that it is proper to examiner the article and method claims together.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art documents submitted by applicant have been considered and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juliana K. Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-2348. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. & Fri. 10:00-6:00 and Tue. & Thur. 10:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rod Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,072 Page 7

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Juliana Kang

September 9, 2004